31

set frens extention drive to thesis

to to avoid in 18H

What gets my interest is the sense that a writer is speaking honestly and fully of what he knows well.

WENDELL BERRY

It is in the hard, hard rock-pile labor of seeking to win, hold, or deserve a reader's interest that the pleasant agony of writing again comes in. JOHN MASON BROWN

a number of small, half-conscious calculations. With computer speed, you reach conclusions on most of the following questions: enough. In the space of those four or five sentences your mind makes that's all; experience has taught you, though, that that's usually You give each story only four or five sentences to prove itself, and you're impatient to get to something interesting, you're a bit ruthless. cle after another, searching hopefully for something to arrest it. Since your eye instinctively checks out the opening paragraph of one artiidly leafing through its pages. With your mind on automatic pilot, Suppose you've just picked up a copy of Newsweek. You begin

"Does this story have intrinsic interest to me?"

"Should I bother investing some time now to find out more about the

"Is the writing clear and easy, or will I have a hard time following what this writer is saying?" "Does his style show verve, or is he just going through the motions?"
"Does he seem to be well-informed?"

something in his manner?" "Do I think I like this writer as a person, or does he put me off by

The point is, though, that you as a writer are subject to precisely the So it goes with virtually everything else you read in your daily life.

> a clear mind, the odds are that he will continue true to form. subject, a fine perceptiveness, a flair for appealing to his reader, and remain that way. But if his opener reveals an enthusiasm for his himself to be bored with his subject, unwilling to use his imagination, 2.4 and everything that follows. If, at the very outset, a writer shows there is a pretty close correlation between the quality of an opener of your essay. Besides, he'll know from experience, like you, that usually indelible. Instead of looking for the good, he'll be looking regardless of its merits; but if you have convinced him in your opener worth a hearing. Granted, if you are writing an undergraduate essay generally be given only four or five sentences to prove that you are same sampling procedure as the authors you read. You, too, will indifferent to his reader, and unclear in his thinking, he's likely to way for the weaknesses, if only to justify to himself his initial impression lost him for good. He's only human, after all. First impressions are that he isn't interested in what you're selling, you probably will have your reader—your instructor—will go on to read the whole piece

built-in forward thrust. It's like a good comedy situation: it ignites. A good opener invariably has a good thesis—bold, interesting, clearly worthy of the first. There's also a very practical explanation, however fidence, and an extra incentive to make the remaining paragraphs be written. A good opener will give you momentum, a sense of confor openers have a way of governing how the rest of the piece will mount importance. But it is equally important to you as the writer, focused—and a good thesis tends to argue itself because it has a Purely from the reader's standpoint, then, your opener is of para-Vc Weaks-

example, from an undergraduate essay on Prince Hal in Shakespeare's ously eager to share what they think about their subject. Below is an approach. They march into their subject with bold directness, obviyou'd notice that the most skillful writers usually elect the front-door could examine the opening paragraphs of a random set of papers, Employee One of the best ways to test the effectiveness of an opener you have written is to check it for directness of approach. An essay, like a house, can be entered by the front door or by the back door. If you house, can be entered by the front door or by the back door. If you

* In this chapter, and again in the subsequent related chapters on "Middles" and "Closers," the examples I use of student writing all deal with Shakespeare's plays. I elected this policy principally because Shakespeare is our most uni-

ಟ

the back-door approach, the long way in-like this: The less experienced writers, on the other hand, invariably favor

decide whether Hal is actually likable or merely admirable. reader's favorite. It's also little wonder that we are hard pressed to

In the second scene of the first Act of William Shakespeare's *The First Part of King Henry the Fourth*, Prince Hal presents a soliloquy which serves as a crux of this play. Although this play would appear by the title to tell of King Henry IV, actually the principal character is the King's son, Hal. The play reveals what seems to be a exploits in a civil war waged against his father. . . . remarkable change in character for the Prince and follows his

even be awake to read on? another four sentences. Would you be eager to read on? Would you This opening paragraph—essentially a plot summary—continues for

student usually elect the back-door approach: Upon analysis it's clear why inexperienced writers such as this

- have a point? point—for what's the point of coming to the point when you don't they have little to argue and hence little reason to come right to the They haven't taken the trouble to formulate a strong thesis, so
- often means right down to the final period). they know he's apt to see through their bluff. Thus they instinctively delay a confrontation with him as long as is humanly possible (which • Because they have little to say, they are afraid of their reader-
- They haven't yet learned to value their reader's time. In fact,

versal author, but also for purposes of continuity. I trust that the intrinsic readability of these examples will offset the repetitiousness of the subject matter, but please pass them by if Shakespeare grows tedious to you.

way, for they are as yet preoccupied merely with getting ideas down they haven't learned even to consider their reader in any systematic

scene laboriously written out (instead of simply "Lii"). title of the play (instead of simply I Henry IV), and the Act and author (instead of simply "Shakespeare"), the unwieldy complete above, for instance, the student gives us the complete name of the gives them a way of rationalizing flagrant padding. In the opener mon sense tells them otherwise, they cling to that notion since it for the World, not for a well-informed reader, and even though com-• They have a vague notion that they're supposed to be writing

thing is supposed to sound, certainly, but having zero to say, she must content herself with a lovely, empty gush. The result is an epitome of The Art of Saying Nothing Profoundly: Critical Overview—but unfortunately discovers only mists and gobthe surrounding terrain—a gambit known as Establishing the Large techniques. The writer begins with some cautious reconnoitering of is more sophisticated, more clever in its adroit use of smokescreen lins known as Grand Generalizations. This student knows how the Below is another example of the back-door approach, but this one

character of Hamlet is probably one of Shakespeare's most of his multi-faceted personality and his realistic problems. perplexing and most pleasing. He is easily identified with because characters, has remained a classic for over three centuries. The Shakespeare's Hamlet, admired for its poetic style and intriguing

new essay began: on another character in the play, King Claudius. This is how her apt learner. Her very next paper showed it. Instead of rewriting the and that there is no substitute for imagination. She proved to be an psychologically, that a back-door approach is transparently evasive, thesis, that the opening four or five sentences are absolutely crucial Gradually she began to realize that an essay is only as good as its piece on Hamlet, which now nauseated her, she decided to start afresh her opener from the reader's viewpoint. It was eye-opening to her. When the student came in for a conference, I helped her to read

He killed his brother. He married his brother's wife. He stole his brother's crown. A cold-hearted murderer, he is described by his person in Hamlei demonstrates so mixed a true nature as Claudius, Nonetheless, as his soliloquies and anguished asides reveal, no The bare facts appear to stamp him an utter moral outlaw. brother's ghost as "that incestuous, that adulterate beast" (I.v.42). the newly-made King of Denmark.

they are going, and the salesmanship—the verve—evident in the of address—the front-door approach. Note, too, the concreteness of discover what makes an opener click. Note in each case the directness detail, the sense that the writers convey of knowing precisely where most of them written well into the semester after they had begun to space I'll quote only the initial sentences of the other two: phrasing. I'll quote the first opener in its entirety, but to conserve Below are some more good openers, all by this student's classmates,

shrew, and the shrew is really a perfect wife, (and things are not as suitor is really a wise old fox, and the perfect beauty is really a really a page, and the schoolmaster is really a suitor, and the crazy suggested by the title ever really take place. seem because of the dual-roled characters, neither does the "taming" supposers by the posers. Moreover, while things are not as they the "taming" occurs only as a result of the manipulation of the and power, respectively, and shows how the emergence of an inner and Bianca, Shakespeare acquaints us with the effects of wealth, love, duped by this rampant role-playing. By the examples of Sly, Kate, on a production within a production. In it, three characters are being they seem. Even the play itself pretends not to be a play by putting (perhaps truer) character can be said to have been tamed. However, In The Shrew, the servant is really a lord, and the lord's wife is

use of the occult immediately come to mind: the witches in Macbeth, the antics of Titania and Oberon in A Midsummer Night's Dream, The occult element leavens Shakespeare's works with a pinch of the unknown and an implication that it should remain so. His artful convenient rationales. Several examples of Shakespeare's significant glimpse at a forbidden terrain before it is bulldozed out of sight by but often annoying ambiguity seldom allows more than a fleeting the Ghost in Hamlet, and the figure of Owen Glendower in I Henry

> of fools shall smart for it." King Solomon's proverb appears reversed in King Lear for it is a wise Fool who accompanies and counsels a seemingly foolish king. In the play, the Fool assumes myriad roles that of teacher, loyal servant, comedian, and often the punitive voice of Lear's own conscience. "He that walketh with wise men shall be wise; But the companions

over as you sit down to write your next opener. Keep in mind, as total writing time trying to get his opener into proper shape: a skilled writer will sometimes have to spend as much as a third of his you read them, that openers are hard for everybody, and that even So much for examples. Now here are a few tips to run your eye

if you have one, but it takes courage. Write on some note paper, I shall argue that,—," and complete the sentence. Now study what you've written. If somebody else's essay were arguing this thesis, would you be intrigued by it? Is it complex enough, or controversial enough, to allow for lengthy exposition? Have you really stuck your neck out, or are you 1 Before actually beginning to write, do two things. First, ensure that you have a strong, tightly focused thesis.) There's a good way to tell if you have one, but it takes courage. Write on some note paper, "I shall it you have one, but it takes courage. Write on some note paper, "I shall it you have one, but it takes courage." merely pussyfooting?

"Bull," and tune you out. On the other hand, if you lead off with a number of concrete details, your reader is apt to be thinking, "This fellow has really done his homework. What an eye for detail he has!" sion of abstract generalizations, your reader may impatiently mutter, Second, have on hand a list of concrete details and apt quotations, and be prepared to use them. Remember, if you lead off with a successing

your desk, take a deep breath, and say to yourself, 'OK, now, what is it I'm really trying to say?' Then simply say it—talk it. I got that tip from an old hand when I was a cub reporter many years ago. It works." false starts. Should this happen, try doing what a Pulitzer Prize-winning reporter once recommended to me. He said, "Pull yourself back from ning, for writing involves squarely confronting one's verbal and mental inadequacies. You may, as a result, find yourself making half a dozen 2 Like most writers, you may choke at the very thought of begin-

write every paragraph sequentially. Remember, writing involves discovered covery. Once the first draft is finished, you'll probably have discovered you've finished the first rough draft. There's no rule that says you must opener, let it stand as is, roughly blocked out, and return to it after 3 If you follow this procedure and still feel unhappy with your

several points that really belong in your opener.

4 Use the front-door approach. Idle chat is a confession of an

back later and add small touches of elegance if you have a mind to ("punitive" in the Lear example above was doubtless just such an after-thought), but initially keep it simple.) Simple prose is clear prose. And simple prose, if smooth and rhythmical, is readable prose. Let your ideas themselves do the impressing. If they look banal to you, there's only one remedy: rethink them. Don't try to camouflage their weakness with razzle-dazzle rhetoric. You'll razzle-dazzle yourself right into a bog of bull.

or three sentences long—your reader is apt to conclude that you are short on ideas and thus are only going through the motions. Experience will have taught him, as it's probably taught you, that these conclusions are usually justified. (Of course there's always the glorious exception that makes a dictum like this look silly.) On the other hand, if your opener is barn-like, your reader is apt to conclude that you lack any sense of proportion. He'll take one look at it and groan, "Has the author no mercy? Does he think he has to put everything in his first paragraph?"

7 Consider occasionally using a dramatically brief initial sentence say, 4 or 5 words in length. It will compel you to begin with a definite assertion, give your grateful reader a firm handle on the sentences that follow, and offer him the enchantment of surprise. (Most opening sen-

tences seem to run in the neighborhood of 18 words.)

8 If possible, <u>organize</u> your opening paragraph so that the biggest punch—the strongest statement of your thesis—comes at the end. (Note the Shrew example above.) This particular organization has three advantages: it enables you to build toward a climax, it gives you an easier entry into your next paragraph because of the springboard effect, and it saves you from having to repeat yourself.

My style of writing is chiefly grounded upon an early enthusiasm for [Thomas H.] Huxley, the greatest of all masters of orderly exposition. He taught me the importance of giving to every argument a simple structure.

H. L. MENCKEN

When you begin an essay, you may have clearly in mind exactly what you're supposed to be doing and how best to do it. If so, you're fortunate. Most people don't. The entire concept of essay-writing is fuzzy to them. This chapter is for the bewildered majority: it's an attempt to bring into sharp focus the what and the how of the business. The what part of it I'll explain with the help of an analogy, out of which I'll draw up a concrete checklist of reminders. The how of it is rather more complicated because it involves the very process itself. At the risk of putting you to sleep, what I'll do is follow an imaginary advanced student right through the various stages of writing an essay, after which I'll provide you with a model short essay written by an actual student. This will enable you to see what the finished product might look like.

What, you may ask, has all this to do with "middles"? Well, you are going to see that the middle section of an essay is inseparable from the opening, since it consists of the development of the opener's thesis; and you will see that the middle is also inseparable from the process whereby the thesis is arrived at, since it amounts to a coherent retelling of that process.

First, the what of it. When you write a term paper, a final examination, or even a lab report, you are engaged in what's elegantly called "expository" writing. Expository writing might be defined as "informative writing." Its primary goal is to explain.

chasition - latin asposins